



misconduct. Ruffin El's latest filing fails to demonstrate a cogent basis for reconsideration of my previous dispositive analysis.

As I have previously indicated, proceedings in this court are concluded. Ruffin El has been free to seek an appeal if he wishes to advocate that there has been any reversible error in the handling of his case, although I offer no comment about the timeliness of any appeal attempted at this point. All future inappropriate emails and telephone calls from Ruffin El will be disregarded. In addition, the Court may decline to address, or to take any action on, future filings in the court record that fail to comply with applicable procedural requirements and/or fail to present coherent factual or legal argument.

**ACCORDINGLY:**

Plaintiff Michael Ruffin El's filing of October 23, 2023 citing "Rule 60(b)(3)" [DE 48], to the extent it may be CONSTRUED as a motion for relief from judgment under Fed.R.Civ.P. 60(b)(3), is DENIED.

**SO ORDERED.**

ENTERED: October 27, 2023.

/s/ Philip P. Simon  
PHILIP P. SIMON, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT